January 26, 2016

Members of the Legislative Audit Committee:

In our audit report dated December 18, 2015, we concluded that the Permanent School Fund’s (the Fund) basic financial statements for fiscal year 2015 were materially correct and presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Fund published our audit report as part of its basic financial statements, which it intends to post on its Web site at www.tea.texas.gov.

We also issued a report on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and other matters as required by auditing standards (that report, including responses from management, is presented in the attachment to this letter). In that report, auditors identified a deficiency in the General Land Office’s segregation of duties over its processing of transactions for alternative investments.

Our procedures were not intended to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or to provide an opinion on compliance with laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund’s internal control over financial reporting or on compliance with laws and regulations.

Auditors will communicate certain issues that were not material or significant to the audit objectives in writing to the Fund’s management.

As required by auditing standards, we will also communicate to the State Board of Education certain matters related to the conduct of a financial statement audit.

We appreciate the Fund’s cooperation during this audit. If you have any questions, please contact Angelica Ramirez, Audit Manager, or me at (512) 936-9500.

Sincerely,

Lisa R. Collier, CPA, CIDA
First Assistant State Auditor
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Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters as Required by Auditing Standards

Members of the State Board of Education
Members of the School Land Board

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Permanent School Fund (Fund) as of and for the year ended August 31, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated December 18, 2015.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Fund’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses.

SAO Report No. 16-313
We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Findings and Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finding Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Fund’s financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Auditors communicated certain issues that were not material or significant to the audit objectives in writing to the Fund’s management.

The Fund’s Response to Findings

The Fund’s response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses. The Fund’s response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity’s internal control over financial reporting and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Lisa R. Collier, CPA, CIDA
First Assistant State Auditor

December 18, 2015
Schedule of Findings and Responses

The General Land Office Should Improve Segregation of Duties and Validation of Fair Market Values for Alternative Investments

Reference No. 2015-1

Type of finding: Significant Deficiency

The General Land Office (Office) should improve the segregation of duties over its processing of transactions for externally-managed alternative investments. Investment staff maintain significant control over the processing of alternative investment transactions. Specifically, the employee primarily responsible for the capital call, distribution process, and communication with the custodian bank reports to the Office’s chief investment officer. The lack of segregation of duties between the authorizing and recording of transactions increases the risk of transactions for alternative investments being inaccurately processed and recorded.

Additionally, staff in the financial management division should be more involved in reviewing alternative investment valuations. Currently, the financial management staff do not perform procedures to validate the fair market values that the custodian bank provides. Instead, the financial management staff rely on the due diligence and expertise of the Office’s investment staff to perform any such validation as necessary.

Auditors first communicated these issues to the Office two years ago. The Office stated that its financial management staff attended training related to separation of duties for the processing of investment transactions in the summer of 2015.

Recommendations

The Office should:

- Improve the segregation of duties over its processing of transactions for alternative investments.
• Continue to develop expertise in its Financial Management division regarding the recording of alternative investment transactions and ensure that the financial management staff are more involved in reviewing alternative investment valuations.

Management’s Response

Recommendation:

Improve the segregation of duties over its processing of alternative investments.

Management Response:

While the General Land Office agrees that strict segregation of duties is often a desirable goal, it is important to note that it is not uncommon in small investment shops for experienced, qualified employees to routinely perform several different functions in their daily operations. However, the General Land Office agrees to move the accounting functions associated with processing alternative investment transactions to the Financial Management Division.

Responsible Parties:

Chief Investment Officer; Chief Financial Officer

Implementation Date:

May 1, 2016

Recommendation:

Continue to develop expertise in its Financial Management division regarding the processing of alternative investment transaction, and ensure that the financial management staff are more involved in reviewing alternative investment valuations.

Management Response:

The General Land Office agrees that it would be beneficial for more knowledge of alternative investment transactions to be further developed. To aid in the development of expertise, the individual(s) assigned will also be invited to participate with Investment Management staff in the sections of periodic investor update calls that review asset valuation methodologies and fair value measures.
Responsible Parties:

Chief Financial Officer; Chief Investment Officer

Implementation Date:

May 1, 2016